

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/699,935	11/03/2003	Robert Milling Brian III	CSCAP.00003	4356		
22858 7	590 01/12/2005	01/12/2005 LEXAMINER				
CARSTENS	YEE & CAHOON, L	COLLINS, DOLORES R				
P O BOX 8023	334					
DALLAS, TX	75380	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			3711			

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application	n No	Applicant(s)	/W		
					l		
	Office Action Summers	10/699,93	35	BRIAN, ROBERT MILL	.ING		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Dolores R		3711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	s <b></b>		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ply within the state of will apply and wite, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status	,				•		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 I	November 2	<u>003</u> .				
2a)⊠	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.			•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	equirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi	n priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	. priority and	10, 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(0)	, (a) or (i).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been	n received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen			on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National Stage	е		
	application from the International Burea						
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certif	ied copies not receive	ed.			
			`				
Attachmen			<b>∧</b> □	(270 440)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	i d	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	)		atent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges response by applicant's representative received 7/21/04. Examiner further acknowledges the corrections/clarifications made to address the issues of the first action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kail (797).

Kail discloses a Method And Apparatus For Conducting Games Of Chance.

### Regarding claim 1

Kail teaches a game of chance played in conjunction with sports contests, a plurality of pre-printed game cards with sports events and predicted outcomes

(see abstract, figure 1 & col. 2, lines 40-43), determining actual statistics of the sports event (col. 2, lines 44-48) and the awarding of prizes col. 3, lines 46-67).

Page 3

### Regarding claim 2

Kail teaches that the final statistics is the combined score (col. 2, lines 47-48).

#### Regarding claim 3

Kail teaches that the prize increases relative to the number of correct predictions per card (col. 3, lines 57-61).

# Regarding claim 4

Kail teaches that one of the games could be American Football (see abstract & figures 7a-11).

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/21/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to include language that specifically addresses the free distribution of game cards. The cited reference to Kail teaches that his game cards are available free without the payment of his entry fee (see col. 3, lines 10-16). Applicant's amendment fails to

place the application in condition for allowance since all the limitations of the claims have been met.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(571)* **272-4421**. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 10/699,935 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 7, 2005

STEPHEN BLAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER